IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.5794 OF 2006
IN MCA ST. NO.5142/06 IN CP NO. 35/2004.
(Sunilkumar Madanlal Agrawal Vs. M.N. Kapgate & Oth.)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	Court's or Judge's orders

Shri M.V.Samarth, Advocate for Applicants/Resp.Nos.2 & 3.
Shri H.A. Pande, Advocate for Petitioner.

CORAM : R.C.CHAVAN, J.

DATED : JULY 02, 2007.

1. Learned counsel Mr. Pande for the petitioner/ non-applicant seeks to file reply to application for condonation of delay. He states that since review itself is not maintainable, there is no question of condonation of delay.

2. The question, whether the review is maintainable or not will be decided while considering review application. There is no ground why delay should not be condoned.

3. The application for condonation of delay is, therefore, allowed.

MCA ST.NO.5142 OF 2006.

Notice.

Mr. H.A. Pande, learned counsel waives notice on behalf of petitioner/ Non-applicant.

Mrs. Khade, learned A.G.P. waives notice for

Respondent No.1 Dy. Director of Education.

List the matter for hearing on review application after two weeks.

JUDGE.

RR.